
How Fat Was Henry VIII

Downloaded
from
app.ardentx.com
How Fat Was Henry VIII by Bronson & Ramos

INTRODUCTION: THE ENIGMATIC KING AND HIS EXPANDING WAISTLINE

Few monarchs in history are as immediately recognizable as Henry VIII. Images of the Tudor king—broad shouldered, adorned in lavish velvets and furs, with a notoriously stern expression—are etched into the public imagination. But when we picture Henry, we often picture him as a

corpulent giant, a man whose girth was as legendary as his six wives. The central question that has puzzled historians and casual enthusiasts alike is a deceptively simple one: **how fat was Henry VIII?** The answer is not a single number on a scale, but a complex narrative of youthful athleticism, a catastrophic accident, and a slow, tragic decline into severe obesity. This article delves into the historical records, physical artifacts, and medical insights to uncover the true story of the king's changing

physique.

THE YOUNG PRINCE: A FAR CRY FROM THE GLUTTONOUS KING

To understand the extent of Henry's later obesity, we must first recognize that he was not always a large man. In his youth and early reign, Henry VIII was celebrated as one of the most handsome and athletic princes in Europe. Standing approximately **6 feet 2 inches (1.88 meters) tall**, he was exceptionally tall for the 16th century. He was a dazzling figure on the tournament field, known for his prowess in jousting, wrestling, and hunting. His court tailor recorded a chest measurement of 42 inches and a waist of 32 inches during his

early 20s. These figures describe a man of powerful, muscular build, not a fat king. The transformation was not a gradual drift but a dramatic collapse triggered by a single, defining event.

The Jousting Accident That Changed Everythin g

The pivotal turning point in Henry's physical health came on **January 24, 1536**, during a jousting match at Greenwich

Palace. The king, then 44 years old, was unhorsed in full armor, his mount falling upon him. The horse was reported to weigh over a ton. The king lay unconscious for hours. While the exact nature of the injury is debated, the most plausible theory is that he suffered a **severe traumatic brain injury** and a deep wound to his leg. This leg wound, likely a varicose ulcer exacerbated by the crushing impact, would never fully heal. It became suppurating and increasingly painful, fundamentally altering the king's life. The accident ended his days of vigorous physical activity. The king, once a man of action, became a man confined to a chair.

MEASURING THE MONARCH: THE NUMBERS BEHIND THE MYTH

So, **how fat was Henry VIII** in his later years? We do not have precise weight figures, but we have several excellent proxy measurements that allow historians and medical experts to make educated estimations. The most valuable evidence comes from his armor and the accounts of his wardrobe.

The Growing Armor: A Tale of

Two Kings

Armor is a perfect historical record. It is formed to the exact shape of the wearer. Henry's famous armor from his younger, athletic days, held at the Tower of London, shows a man with a 32-inch waist (smaller than the average modern man) and a powerful 42-inch chest. However, a second set of armor, known as the "Tonlet" armor, built later in his reign for foot combat, tells a different story. This later armor has a waist circumference of **54 inches**. The chest, meanwhile, remains at 54 inches. This indicates that Henry's body shape had completely

changed—his chest and waist had become almost the same measurement, a classic sign of severe central obesity. He had lost the athletic "V-shape" of his youth.

The Court Tailor's Ledgers

Further proof lies in the meticulous records of the Great Wardrobe. The king's tailor, John Skut, recorded measurements for the king's clothing. In his later years, Henry's doublet (a fitted jacket) required a chest measurement of 54 inches and a waist measurement of 52 inches. The length of his hose (tights) for his leg had to

accommodate a thigh circumference of **34 inches** and a calf of roughly 18 inches. These are staggering figures. Based on these measurements, the widely accepted scholarly estimate is that during his final years (1540-1547), the king weighed between **350 and 400 pounds (158-181 kilograms)**. This was an unimaginable weight for a man of his height to carry, especially with a festering leg wound and declining mobility.

THE TUDOR DIET: WHAT PUT THE WEIGHT ON?

The cause of Henry's profound obesity is a simple equation: a massive caloric surplus combined with a complete lack of physical activity. The

Tudor court diet was extremely rich, and the king had an appetite to match.

A Daily Feast of Excess

The king's daily meals were a spectacle of consumption. He typically ate **two massive meals a day**, often with a third, lighter supper. Each meal consisted of multiple courses, each containing dozens of dishes. The core of the Tudor diet was meat. Henry consumed staggering amounts of beef, mutton, venison, swan, peacock, and other game. A single meal might feature a whole roast boar, a side of beef, and

several pies. Portions were not small; he was known to consume a whole capon or a large fish as a single item.

- **Meat:** Beef, mutton, veal, venison, rabbit, game birds (swan, crane, peacock, heron).
- **Fish:** Pike, salmon, lampreys (a particular favorite), herring, cod, eels.
- **Sweets:** Marzipan, marchpane, fruit tarts, custards, heavily sugared cakes.
- **Spices:** Cinnamon, ginger, cloves, nutmeg - used in vast quantities to show wealth and to preserve food. These were extremely

calorie-dense.

- **Drink:** The king consumed ale (a significant source of calories) and wine regularly, likely several gallons a week.

Meals were not just about nutrition; they were a display of power and wealth. The sheer variety and abundance of food were a political statement. For Henry, this culture of excess had devastating physical consequences.

The Role of Sugar and "Sweet

Wines"

One less-discussed factor in Henry's weight gain is the Tudor love of sugar. Sugar was a luxury, but the king had unlimited access to it. His cooks used it to preserve fruit, create elaborate sculptures of marchpane, and sweeten everything from wine to meat dishes. He had a particular fondness for "sweet wines" like Malmsey and Cretan wine, which were fortified and high in sugar. This would have added a considerable amount of empty calories to his daily intake, directly contributing to his weight gain and likely exacerbating his health problems, such as gout and chronic

inflammation.

THE PHYSICAL TOLL: A BODY BROKEN BY WEIGHT

The question "how fat was Henry VIII" is not just a matter of curiosity about size. It is a question about suffering. His obesity was a direct cause of his poor health. His vast weight placed enormous strain on his already injured leg. He could not exercise to manage his weight, and his weight made his leg worse. It was a vicious cycle that left him in constant, excruciating pain.

Impaired Mobility

and a Life Medical in a Condition "Travels Linked Chair" to Obesity

By the final years of his reign, Henry could not walk. He was carried through his palaces on a special chair, often with a device like a litter or a sedan chair. He had to be lifted onto his horse by a crane-like device. His court chronicler, Edward Hall, wrote that the king was "so great and heavy" that he could no longer move without the help of a "gouty chair" and a system of pulleys. The king, once a champion jousting, was essentially a prisoner of his own obesity.

We can infer a number of medical conditions from the historical descriptions of his symptoms:

1. **Gout:** Chronic, debilitating joint pain, especially in his feet and legs. This is directly linked to a diet rich in purines (meat and organ meats) and obesity.
2. **Type 2 Diabetes:** The combination of

massive weight gain, a sugar-heavy diet, and family history (his sister Margaret had it) strongly suggests Henry was diabetic. Symptoms include excessive thirst and frequent urination.

3. **Hypertension and Heart**

Strain: Carrying over 350 pounds on a 6'2" frame would have caused chronic high blood pressure and heart strain, a leading cause of death then as now.

4. **Chronic Venous Insufficiency and Leg Ulcers:**

The jousting wound was

exacerbated by the pressure of his weight on his veins, leading to weeping, infected ulcers. These were often dressed with foul-smelling bandages.

His death on January 28, 1547, was not unexpected. He had been declining for years. The cause of death is most often listed as a combination of **gout, a pulmonary embolism, a stroke, or even septicemia from his leg ulcers.** At its core, his vast obesity was a primary, contributing cause.

HOW THE PUBLIC AND COURT PERCEIVED HIS SIZE

In an age before political correctness,

observers were often blunt. Foreign ambassadors noted the king's dramatic change. The French ambassador, Charles de Marillac, wrote in 1540 that the king was "very stout and marvelously excessive in eating and drinking." He noted that the king was "so fat that such a man has never been seen." Another ambassador noted that he could not see the king's feet when he sat down. This was not seen with admiration. It was viewed as a physical manifestation of his tyranny and indulgence. His size was a symbol of his power, but also of his loss of control.

CONCLUSION: MORE THAN A NUMBER ON A

SCALE

So, how fat was Henry VIII? Based on all available evidence—his armor, his clothes, the detailed accounts of his diet, the reports of his ambassadors, and modern medical understanding—we can confidently state that by the end of his life, he was suffering from **severe, morbid obesity**. He likely weighed between 350 and 400 pounds, with a waist circumference of over 50 inches. He was a man of extraordinary girth. But the answer is more than just a number. It is a story of a brilliant, athletic young king destroyed by a brutal injury and a culture of gluttonous excess. His obesity was not a simple character flaw; it was a tragic condition born from a

perfect storm of biology, accident, and lifestyle. The legend of the fat king is true, but the story behind it is far more tragic and human than the myth suggests. His physical size stands as a stark, visible monument to his life's final, painful chapter.