

William Shakespeare And The Renaissance

William Shakespeare And The Renaissance

Downloaded from app.ardentx.com by Adrienne & Ware

INTRODUCTION: THE BARD AND THE BIRTH OF MODERNITY

To speak of **William Shakespeare and the Renaissance** is to speak of a symbiotic relationship that defined the course of Western literature. The Renaissance, a French word meaning "rebirth," was a fervent period of European cultural, artistic, political, and economic revival following the Middle Ages. Spanning roughly from the 14th to the 17th century, it marked the transition to the early modern world. At its heart lay the rediscovery of classical philosophy, literature, and art, coupled with a new emphasis on humanism—a belief in the value, agency, and potential of the individual.

William Shakespeare, born in 1564 in Stratford-upon-Avon, did not merely exist during this period; he was its most brilliant literary manifestation. He stands as the epitome of the Renaissance spirit, synthesizing the era's intellectual currents, its anxieties, and its exuberant creativity into a body of work that continues to define our understanding of human nature. This article explores the intricate and profound connections between William Shakespeare and the Renaissance, examining how the movement shaped his plays and poems and how, in turn, his genius crystallized the era's ideals for all time.

THE INTELLECTUAL CURRENTS OF THE RENAISSANCE

The Renaissance was not a monolithic event but a complex web of interlocking cultural shifts. To understand Shakespeare, one must first understand the intellectual water in which he swam. The most significant of these currents was **Renaissance humanism**. This was not a secular philosophy in the modern sense, but rather a new educational and cultural program that focused on the *studia humanitatis*—grammar, rhetoric, history, poetry, and moral philosophy. Humanists like Erasmus and Sir Thomas More argued for a return to the original sources of classical knowledge, believing that the study of ancient Greek and Roman texts could cultivate a more virtuous and effective citizen.

This period also witnessed the seismic upheaval of the Protestant Reformation, which challenged the authority of the Catholic Church and encouraged individual interpretation of scripture. This fostered a climate of questioning and introspection, a theme that dominates Shakespeare's soliloquies. Furthermore, the Copernican revolution, though slow to permeate public consciousness, began to destabilize the medieval, geocentric worldview, replacing it with a universe that was infinite, mysterious, and potentially indifferent to human affairs. Shakespeare's plays are filled with characters grappling with a world where traditional hierarchies and certainties have been shaken.

Humanism’s Reflection in Shakespeare’s Characters

The most direct influence of these intellectual currents on **William Shakespeare and the Renaissance** is visible in his characterisation. Medieval drama was largely allegorical, with characters representing abstract vices or virtues. Shakespeare, by contrast, created psychologically complex, flawed, and deeply human individuals. This is the essence of humanism applied to art. Hamlet is not merely a prince; he is a scholar from the University of Wittenberg (a center of Reformation thought), a philosopher who agonises over existence, morality, and action. His famous "What a piece of work is a man" speech is a quintessential humanist statement, celebrating human reason and capability while simultaneously lamenting its limitations.

Similarly, King Lear strips away the trappings of kingship to reveal the "unaccommodated man"—a poor, bare, forked animal. This profound exploration of human identity and worth, independent of social status, is a direct product of the Renaissance humanist project. Shakespeare’s characters are not flat archetypes; they grow, change, and argue with themselves, embodying the new Renaissance focus on the individual’s inner life and personal destiny.

THE ELIZABETHAN WORLD PICTURE: ORDER AND DISORDER

The world in which Shakespeare lived was still heavily structured by the concept of the "Great Chain of Being"—a hierarchical order extending from God down to the lowliest mineral. The monarch was God's deputy on Earth, and any disruption to this chain, from political rebellion to domestic disobedience, was seen as a sin that could unleash chaos upon the world. This worldview provides the dramatic tension for many of his plays.

Shakespeare’s history plays, such as the Henry IV and Henry V cycles, are deeply concerned with the legitimacy of rulers and the bloody consequences of civil war. The Tudor myth, which justified the rule of Elizabeth I's grandfather, Henry VII, as the end of a period of chaos, is a recurring theme. Similarly, the tragedies often depict the catastrophic results of disrupting natural or political order. The ghost in *Hamlet* signals that "something is rotten in the state of Denmark." The storm in *King Lear* mirrors the king's shattered mind and the fractured kingdom. Macbeth's murder of Duncan is described as a "breach in nature" that causes horses to go wild and the world to be thrown off its axis.

Challenging the Old Order

Yet, while Shakespeare worked within this Elizabethan world picture, he also subtly challenged it. His plays are filled with characters who question authority, from the gravediggers in *Hamlet* who argue about the legality of Ophelia's suicide, to Shylock in *The Merchant of Venice* who demands his legal rights with a passionate plea for shared humanity: "Hath not a Jew eyes?" This tension between upholding the established order and exploring the rights of the individual is a defining characteristic of **William Shakespeare and the Renaissance** period. The Renaissance was an age of exploration, both of the physical world (Columbus, Magellan) and of the inner self. Shakespeare’s plays are the literary record of that inward exploration.

THE CLASSICAL WORLD REBORN: SOURCES AND STRUCTURES

A hallmark of the Renaissance was the rediscovery and emulation of classical Greek and Roman models. Shakespeare was deeply influenced by this. Unlike the university-educated "University Wits" (like Christopher Marlowe), Shakespeare likely had a grammar school education, which was intensely focused on Latin classics. He read Ovid's *Metamorphoses* (in Latin and in translation) and

the plays of Plautus and Seneca. This classical influence manifests in several key ways.

First, the structure of his plays often follows classical models. *The Comedy of Errors* is a direct adaptation of Plautus’s *Menaechmi*, a farce about identical twins. The Roman tragedies, such as *Julius Caesar*, *Antony and Cleopatra*, and *Coriolanus*, are drawn directly from Plutarch’s *Lives of the Noble Greeks and Romans*, a key humanist text. These plays are not just dramatizations of history; they are explorations of classical ideals of honour, citizenship, and political virtue.

Second, his use of the soliloquy, while not invented by him, was perfected to a degree that allowed for the deep psychological exploration that the Renaissance demanded. This technique has its roots in the classical dramatic convention of the "aside," but Shakespeare turned it into a powerful tool for self-analysis. When Hamlet asks "To be or not to be," he is engaging in a classical philosophical debate—a Socratic dialogue with himself. This fusion of classical form with modern psychological content is one of Shakespeare’s greatest achievements.

THE POWER OF LANGUAGE: EXPANDING THE VERNACULAR

The Renaissance was a period of extraordinary linguistic expansion in England. Latin and French had been the languages of learning and court, but the English language was coming into its own. Writers like Edmund Spenser and Christopher Marlowe were experimenting with its possibilities, but it was Shakespeare who became its undisputed master. The connection between **William Shakespeare and the Renaissance** is nowhere more evident than in his revolutionary use of the English vernacular.

Shakespeare had a vocabulary of over 20,000 words (double that of an average educated person today) and is credited with coining or first recording over 1,700 words in the English language. Words like "bedroom," "lonely," "generous," "critical," "leapfrog," and "hobnob" all first appear in his texts. He created these neologisms by converting nouns into verbs, verbs into adjectives, and by adding prefixes and suffixes. This linguistic freedom was itself a Renaissance idea—a rejection of rigid medieval scholasticism in favour of creative, human-centred expression.

His mastery of poetic form, particularly the sonnet, also reflects the Renaissance fascination with structure and beauty. The Shakespearean sonnet form (three quatrains and a final couplet) was a popular vehicle for exploring themes of love, time, mortality, and art—all central concerns of the Renaissance. In his sonnets, he argues that his verse will make his beloved immortal, a proud, humanist claim that art can conquer time, a power previously reserved for God or the Church.

ART, PATRONAGE, AND THE PUBLIC STAGE

The Renaissance was also a period of immense artistic patronage. In Italy, the Medici family supported Michelangelo and Leonardo da Vinci. In England, the monarch and the nobility were the primary patrons of the arts. Shakespeare’s career was deeply embedded in this patronage system. His acting company, the Lord Chamberlain’s Men (later the King’s Men under James I), enjoyed royal favour. Queen Elizabeth I was a known admirer of his plays, and the company performed regularly at court.

However, what made the English Renaissance distinct from the Italian was the rise of the public theatre. Unlike a painting or a sculpture commissioned for a private chapel, a Shakespeare play was a public, commercial enterprise. The Globe Theatre, built in 1599, could hold up to 3,000 people, from the "groundlings" (the lower classes standing in the pit) to the wealthy nobility seated in the galleries. This created a uniquely democratic space for art. Shakespeare had to write plays that appealed to the intellectual sensibilities of the courtier and the base humour of the groundling simultaneously. This pressure is arguably what made his drama so rich and multi-layered. The Renaissance ideal of the "universal man" was reflected in the universal appeal of his theatre.

KEY WORKS AS MIRRORS OF THE AGE

To solidify the connection between **William Shakespeare and the Renaissance**, it is useful to examine specific plays that epitomize the era’s spirit.

- **Hamlet (c. 1600):** The quintessential Renaissance tragedy. It is a play of ideas, featuring a protagonist who is a scholar, a philosopher, and a revenger. The play grapples with questions of existence, morality, and the nature of truth that were central to the humanist and Reformation debates. The play-within-a-play is a commentary on the power of art to reveal truth, a distinctly Renaissance self-awareness.
- **Romeo and Juliet (c. 1595):** A play about individual passion versus social order. The love between Romeo and Juliet is a private, personal force that defies the public, feudal hatred of their families. This conflict between the individual and society is a core Renaissance theme. The play also explores fate and fortune, a topic of great interest in an age of exploration and discovery.
- **The Tempest (c. 1611):** Often considered Shakespeare’s farewell to the stage, this play is deeply engaged with the Renaissance project of colonialism and exploration. Prospero, the magician, using his knowledge and art, controls the island and its inhabitants. The play raises questions about the nature of civilisation, the "savage" vs. the "civilised" man (Caliban), and the power of art and knowledge to both liberate and enslave.
- **King Lear (c. 1605):** A stark exploration of nihilism and human suffering that reflects the anxieties of a world losing its medieval certainties. The play’s bleak vision challenges the providential view of the world, asking whether the gods are just or whether the universe is indifferent. This is the dark side of the Renaissance spirit—the terrifying freedom of a world without a fixed order.

THE ENDURING LEGACY: SHAKESPEARE AS THE RENAISSANCE MAN

Why does the link between **William Shakespeare and the Renaissance** remain so vital? Because Shakespeare did more than just reflect his age; he helped to create the modern consciousness that the Renaissance set in motion. He took the raw materials of the era—its classical learning, its humanist optimism, its political anxieties, its linguistic energy—and forged them into a literary monument that defines what it means to be human.

His characters speak to us today because they are the first fully-realised modern individuals in literature. They are not gods or devils, but men and women struggling with ambition, jealousy, love, guilt, and mortality. This focus on the individual, on the inner life, is the greatest gift of the Renaissance to the modern world, and Shakespeare is its greatest